the recently enacted speed zone restrictions) and continued study and monitoring are necessary to maintain the manatee populations in Sarasota and Florida waters.

IV. SUMMARY

The different indicators of manatee abundance were ranked for each year from highest to lowest to identify annual trends (Table 8). The average value from all six years for each indicator is also displayed. In 1989, highest values were observed for total number of manatees, manatees per hour in the north, south and total survey area, average number of manatees per flight, average number of herds per flight, and maximum population as well as second highest mortality although calf percentage and herd size was among the lowest of all years. Both years in which only the north region was surveyed displayed some of the lowest values for all variables except herd size which was highest of all six years in 1985. This could be the result of observer experience or lower manatee populations in the north. After the south region was added, 1987 showed low values for many of the indicators except calf percentage and herd size. Additionally, some values decreased in 1990, such as maximum population, possibly as a result of reduced numbers of surveys.

The average number of manatees sighted per hour was higher in the south $(\overline{x}=10.6,\ s=2.4)$ than either the north $(\overline{x}=3.8,\ s=1.1)$ or total survey area $(\overline{x}=6.2,\ s=2.4)$ as are most regional parameters. The maximum population $(\overline{x}=107.2,\ s=15.3)$ has been fairly consistent until 1990 when decreased surveys may have decreased the average and increased the standard deviation. Calf percentages $(\overline{x}=11.9\%,\ s=1.2)$ were higher than those reported in similar studies of other areas. Pansy Bayou, Forked Creek, and Turtle Bay are examples of areas with generally high or increasing counts of calves as well as adults. These habitats seem to provide favorable conditions and may have high nursery value. Mortality, especially due to human related causes, has increased and must be checked if manatee populations are to recover and flourish.

V. LITERATURE CITED

- Ackerman, B.A. 1992. Florida Department of Natural Resources. Unpublished data.
- Domning, D.P. and L.C. Hayek. 1986. Interspecific and intraspecific morphological variation in manatees (Sirenia: <u>Trichechus</u>). Marine Mammal Science. 2(2):87-144.
- Hartman, D. 1971. Behavior and ecology of the Florida manatee, <u>Trichechus</u> <u>manatus latirostris</u> (Harlan) at Crystal River, Citrus County. PhD. Thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. 285pp.
- Hartman, D. 1974. Distribution, status and conservation of the manatee in the United States. National Technical Information Service. PB81-140725. Springfield, VA. 246pp.
- Hartman, D. 1979. Ecology and behavior of the manatee (<u>Trichechus manatus</u>) in Florida. Special publication No. 5, American Society of Mammalogists. 153pp.
- Kadel, J.J., A.K. Dukeman, and G.W. Patton. 1991. Report of the 1988 aerial studies of the West Indian manatee <u>Trichechus manatus</u> on the west coast of Florida. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report #228. 55pp.
- Kadel, J.J., M.A. Morgan, and G.W. Patton. 1991. Aerial studies of the West Indian manatee <u>Trichechus manatus</u> on the west coast of Florida 1990 technical report. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report #225. 24pp.
- Lackey, R.T. 1974. Introductory fisheries science. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. Sea Grant Publication VPI-SG-74-02. 75pp.
- Lefebvre, L.W. and R.K. Frohlich. 1986. Movements of Radio-tagged manatees in Southwest Florida, January 1985-March 1986. U.S. Fish & Wildlife and FL Dept. of Natural Resources Unpublished Interim Rpt. 86pp.
- Moore, J. 1951. The status of the manatee in the Everglades National Park with notes on its natural history. Journal of Mammalogy. 32(1):22-36.
- Morgan, M.A., and G.W. Patton. 1989. Aerial studies of the West Indian manatee <u>Trichechus manatus</u> on the west coast of Florida. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report #167. 21pp.
- Nabor, P.L. and G.W. Patton. 1988. Manatee aerial survey program 1987 final report: studies of the West Indian manatee, Anna Maria to northern Charlotte Harbor and the Myakka River. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report #127. 94pp.

- Nabor, P.L. and G.W. Patton. 1989. Aerial studies of the West Indian manatee <u>Trichechus manatus</u> from Anna Maria Florida to northern Charlotte Harbor including the Myakka River: Recommended habitat protection and manatee management strategies. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report #134. 44pp.
- Patton, G.W. 1986. Studies of the West Indian manatee: Anna Maria to Venice (Florida). Rept. submitted to Florida Department of Natural Resources. Mote Marine Laboratory, Sarasota, FL. 24pp.
- Patton, G.W., T.A. Kreckman, and J. Sprinkel. 1987. Studies of the West Indian manatee: Anna Maria to Venice (Florida). Rept. submitted to Florida Department of Natural Resources. Mote Marine Laboratory, Sarasota, FL. 37pp.
- Reynolds, J.E., III. 1991. Distribution and abundance of the West Indian manatee (<u>Trichechus manatus</u>) around selected Florida power plants following winter cold fronts: 1990-1991. Final Rept., Florida Power & Light Company, Juno Beach, Order No. B89806-00264: 39pp.
- Reynolds, J.E., III, B.B. Ackerman, I.E. Beeler, B.L. Weigle, and P.F. Houhoulis.
 1991. Assessment and management of manatees (<u>Trichechus manatus</u>) in Tampa
 Bay. Proc. Bay Area Scientific Information Symposium 2, Tampa, FL, Feb.
 27-Mar. 1, 1991.
- Weigle, B.L., R.K. Bonde, S.J. Marcus, and P.A. Carboara. 1987. Coordinated manatee aerial survey on the east coast of Florida during 1986. Abstract of the Seventh Biennial Conference of the Biology of Marine Mammals. Miami, FL, Dec. 5-9, 1987.

APPENDIX A. TABLES

Table 1. Water clarity scale (m=meter)

Scale

Visibility Through Water

EXCELLENT = Greater than 3m.

GOOD = 2-3m.

FAIR = 3/4-2m.

POOR = 1/4-3/4m.

BAD = Less than 1/4m.

Table 2. Surface conditions scale.

<u>Scale</u>	Effects observed at sea								
0	Mirror-like seas.								
1	Ripples with appearance of scales.								
2	Small wavelets; crests begin to break; scattered whitecaps.								
3	Large wavelets, crests begin to break; scattered whitecaps.								
4	Moderate waves, taking a longer form; many whitecaps; some spray.								

(Adapted from the Beaufort Scale, which is not suited for nearshore use).

Table 3. Summary of surveys. (MC=Mantee Count for north & south.) (TOT=Total count for entire area.)

LTR	1985 DATE	tot	1986 DATE	TOT	1987 DATE M		1988 DATE MC	TOT	1989 DATE MC	TOT	1990 DATE MC TOT
A-N -S	1/7	1	3/12	0	1/29	1 3	1/15 0 1/16 4	4	1/10 3 1/12 50	53	2/8 6 61 2/13 55
B-N -S	1/22	0	3/24	2	2/4	1 2 1	2/22 0 2/18 5	5	1/12 30 1/30 7 2/1 92	99	3/27 8 44 4/9 36
C-N -S	2/9	0	4/7	4	2/21	i 1	3/31 15 3/31 39	54	3/13 0 3/14 63	63	4/19 9 65 4/24 56
D-N S-	2/18	0	4/24	12		1 18	4/27 19 4/29 80	99	3/27 13 4/3 90	103	5/18 17 34 5/25 17
E-N -S	3/3	4	5/5	19		4 60	5/10 6 5/11 58	64	4/19 10 4/18 52	62	6/11 30 71
F-N -S	3/20	4	5/22	9		53	5/23 21 5/26 48	69	5/4 23 5/5 56	79	6/14 41 7/17 15 77 7/19 62
G-N -S	4/1	2	6/2	14 :		5 68	6/2 9 6/3 75	84	5/15 26 5/22 68	94	8/23 36 58 8/28 22
H-N -S	4/17	11	6/16	6	5/16 1: 5/17 4	3 54	6/16 10 6/17 65	75	5/31 41	41	9/19 9 43 9/26 34
I-N -S	4/29	7	1/1	20	5/27 20 5/28 80	108	6/27 11 6/28 31	42	6/12 38 6/14 57	95	10/23 32 50 10/30 18
J-N -S	5/13	20	7/14	8	6/9 27 6/11 62	7 89	7/11 8 7/13 54	62	7/1 54 7/19 63	117	11/6 39 78 11/8 39
K-N -S	5/28	19	7/26	18	6/23 13 6/24 73	86	7/25 10 7/26 76	86	8/22 39 8/23 58	97	11/0 33
L-N -S	6/10	24	8/8	20	7/9 18 7/13 26	3 44	8/11 13 8/17 25	38	9/14 35 9/6 43	78	
M-N -S	6/24	14	8/22	8	7/22 25 7/24 15	40	8/25 16	16	10/2 43 10/3 14	57	
N-N -S	7/9	18	9/4	17	8/5 14 8/7 21	35	9/20 36 9/1 33	69	10/18 35 10/19 26	61	
0-N -S	8/6	10	9/18	9	8/19 18 8/21 41	59	9/28 28 9/27 75	103	11/1 34 11/2 55	89	
P-N -S	8/20	22	10/2	25	9/9 32 9/11 43	75	10/4 78	78	11/20 27 11/21 24	51	
Q-N -S	9/6	26	11/11	18	9/28 24 10/3 35	59	10/11 49 10/12 64	113	12/7 2 12/11 10	12	
- S	9/23	26	11/26	11	10/20 27 10/24 13	40	10/24 38 10/25 71	109	,		•
- \$	10/17	1	12/10	33	11/16 12 11/24 15	i .	11/17 41	41			
-S	10/14	17	12/26	12	12/10 8 12/11 18		12/6 14 12/7 33	47			
- S	11/12	30					12/20 5 12/21 17	22			
- S	11/25	4									
-S	12/4	32 .									
-S	12/10	21				,	•				
1 - N	12/23	1									.*

Annual totals of surveys, herds, manatees, and average, 1985-1990. (x=average and s=standard deviation for 1987-1990 only) Table 4.

Year_	Surveys	<u>Herds</u>	<u> Manatees</u>	Avg. No. Manatees/Survey					
1985	25	138	314	12.56					
1986	20	136	265	13.25					
1987	20	435	947	47.35					
1988	21	608	1280	60.95					
1989	17	587	1251	73.59					
1990	10	315	581	58.10					
######################################									
Totals	113	2219	4638						
ጃ=	17.0	486.3	1014.8	60.0					
s=	4.3	119.3	282.4	9.3					

Table 5. Maximum counts for 5% of each year's flights, 1985-1990. (*= 1987-1990 only)

	North	South	<u>Total</u>
1985	32		
1986	33		
1987	32	84	108
1988	49	80	113
1989	54	92	117
1990	39	62	78
		22225E2	
x =	43.5	81.4*	107.2*
S≈	8.7	11.0*	15.3*

Distribution of manatees in specific sites, 1985-1990.

*NOTE: Numbers in parenthesis refer to calf distributions.

KEY: 1.= % of surveys manatees were sighted (frequency).

2.=Avg. number of manatees/survey for surveys with sightings.

3.=Avg. number of manatees/survey for all flights for the year.

4.=Number of mortalities (1989-1990 only). Table 6.

Site	#:	1 SE Corner	2 Long-	3	4 Putton	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Site Locat		Anna Maria Sound	boat Pass	Bowle	Button- es wood <u>Harbor</u>	Boat	Pansy Bayou	Phillippi Creek	Midnight Pass	Forked Creek	Turtle Bay	Big Slough
Year: 1985	1. 2.	64 (12) 2.5 (1)	12 1.7	4 2	16 (4) 1 (1)	8 (8) 5.5 (1)		8) 8 (4)				
	3.	1.6(.12)	.2	.1		5.5 (1) .4(.08)		1) 1.5 (1) 8) .1(.04)	6.25 (1) 1(.04			
1986	1. 2. 3.	60 (5) 3.1 (1) 1.8(.05)	5 2 .1	10 4 .4	0 0 0	5 3 1.5	1.2	5 0	35 2.4 .85			
1987	1. 2. 3.	25 (10) 2.0 (1) 0.5 (.1)	15 1.3 .2	0 0 0	25 (15) 2.6 (1) .9(.15)	1.5	40 (25 3.5(1.2 1.4(.25	1.5	20 5.7	55 (35) 4.2 (1) 2.3(.35)	70 (40) 15.7(4.9) 10.2 (2)	3 (1)
1988	1. 2. 3.	20 (10) 4.2(1.5) .8 (.5)	10 2 .2	0 0 0	30 (5) 1.7 (1) .35(.05)	1 .	40 (15 3.5 (1 1.4(.15) 0	5 (5) 5 (1) .24(.05)	30 (15) 2.7(1.3) .8(.15)	11.7 (2)	.35
1989	1. 2. 3. 4.	65 (12) 2.2 (1) 1.4(.12) 0	0 0 0	1	35 (18) 4.8 (1) 1.7(.18) 0		53 (29) 3.9 (1) 2.1(.29)	0	12 1.5 3 .14 0	47 (12) .25 (.1) 1.5 (.2) 0	76 (59) 15.9(2.3) 12.2(1.3) 0	1
1990	1. 2. 3. 4.	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 \\ .3 & 1 \end{array}$	0(30) 2 (1) 1(.3)	0 0 0	60 (30) 2.3 (1) 1.4 (.3)		50 (40) 3.2(1.2) 1.6 (.4) 0	Ò		40 (20) 2.7 (1) 1.1 (.2) 1 (1)	100 (50) 8.8(1.6) 8.8 (.8) 0	3.7